This source is a Wikipedia page about a monster called Futakuchi-onna. The page briefly introduces the monster’ shape features and her roles in Japanese mythology and folklore. It also presents origins of the second mouth, prototypical story and her role in popular culture. The page links to five other pages on topics from Western mythology, Chinese mythology and some extremely rare diseases. It lists two references, an Internet archive that introduces Futakuchi-onna and an encyclopedia that introduces Mawile. The bottom of the page names four categories into which this monster fit. (91 words)

该资料来源是维基百科上关于两种叫牛头和马面的生物的页面。该页面简要介绍了这两种生物的中文名称以及它们在中国和日本神话中的作用。它还介绍了新加坡、台湾和中国大陆的雕像和绘画作品，以及西雅图亚洲艺术博物馆中的一幅卷轴。该页面链接到其他12个关于西方和中国神话主题的页面。它列出了两份参考资料，一份是2014年的英文恶魔百科全书，一份是2004年关于日本的法语作品。页面的底部要求帮助扩展它，并说出了这些生物适合的七个类别。(107字)

Give a Chicago-style bibliographic entry (author and date system) for the source, using the examples give in pages 5 and 6 of this model: <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/wisc/reader.action?docID=4877146>

Introduce the source:

State the kind of source and summarize its content (90-110 words, give word count).

Explain the source’s value for someone unfamiliar with the god, ghost, or monster in question (70-80 words, give word count). What is it good for? Why do you say that?

Explain the source’s limitations for someone unfamiliar with this god, ghost, or monster (70-80 words, give word count). What is this source not good for? What makes you say that?

*Wikipedia*. 2021. “Ox-Head and Horse-Face.” Last modified December 23. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ox-Head_and_Horse-Face>.

对于不熟悉该神、鬼或怪物的人来说，解释该资料的价值（70-80字，给出字数）。它有什么好处？你为什么这样说？

这个资料的价值是，通过阅读这个资料，你能够了解到这个怪物的原型故事。因为你最初对二口女有所了解可能是通过漫画、游戏这些渠道，这些渠道的讲述可能具有片面性。而阅读这份资料，能让你对二口女这个妖怪的整体形象有大致的了解。

The value of this source is that by reading this source, You can learn the origin of the name of the monster and the prototype story. Because you initially have knowledge of the Futakuchi-onna may be through the comics, games and other channels, the description of these channels may give other meanings to affect your understanding of the monster. Read this source, you can have a general understanding of the overall image of the monster of Futakuchi-onna.

对于不熟悉这个神、鬼或怪物的人来说，解释这个来源的局限性（70-80个字，给出字数）。这个来源不适合什么？你为什么这样说？

这个资料的局限性是，它是由人们自发的去编辑的，以至于这个来源不适合作为专业的学术文章的引用。因为从编辑历史来看，这篇文章被修改过多次，说明里面一些内容是存在争议的。另外，这篇文章的参考问献也是网络档案馆，没有太多其他的书籍去印证真伪性。

The limitation of this source is that it was spontaneously edited by people to the extent that this source is not suitable as a citation for a very specialized academic article. This is because the editorial history shows that this article has been revised several times, indicating that some of its contents are vague and controversial. In addition, the references to this article are also from the Internet archives, and there are not many other books or audio-visual materials to corroborate the authenticity of this article.

The limitation of this source is that it was spontaneously edited by people to the extent that this source is not suitable for citation as a professional academic article. This is because the editorial history shows that this article has been revised several times, indicating that some of its contents are controversial. In addition, the referenced contributions to this article are also in the online archives, and there are not many other books to corroborate the authenticity.

The limitation of this source is that it was spontaneously edited by people and therefore this source is not suitable to be cited as a professional academic article. This is because the editorial history shows that this article has been revised several times, indicating that some of its contents are controversial. In addition, the reference manuscript for this article is archived online, and there are not many other books available to confirm its authenticity.